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Reinforcement of the Political Self through Selective Exposure to Political Messages

Abstract

The idea that media users typically select messages to reinforce pre-existing views of issues and of the self has pervaded much communication research. Yet research that examines effects of such selective exposure is scarce. The current two sessions-experiment (n = 157) tackles this issue in the political context. The first session consisted of a computerized questionnaire about 12 political issue attitudes, political self-concept, and other variables. Accessibility data were collected based on response times. In the second session, participants browsed through an online magazine. Four issues chosen from the 12 issues were covered, with two articles featuring opposing perspectives. Selective reading was unobtrusively logged. Finally, a questionnaire repeated measures for attitudes and self-concept. Results show that media users prefer attitude-consistent over counter-attitudinal messages and that this preference strengthens the political self-concept through increased accessibility.
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Reinforcement of the Political Self through Selective Exposure to Political Messages

The idea that individuals may prefer attitude-consistent messages has long been a concern, as this pattern would hinder an informed opinion formation according to democratic ideals. The term *selective exposure* traditionally refers to this phenomenon (e.g., Klapper, 1960; Lazarsfeld, Berelson, & Gaudet, 1944; Sears & Freedman, 1967). More recently, the issue attracts new attention as the Internet and ever-rising media offerings increase the available choices and make them easily accessible. Oftentimes, selective exposure is viewed as a key factor in protecting existing predispositions and favorable views of the self. Along these lines, it has been argued that the media mostly have effects that reinforce the status quo, which may lead to underestimating the total mass communication impacts (e.g., Klapper, 1960). Empirical evidence on these self-reinforcement phenomena still defines a void (Slater, 2007). The current investigation aims to shed more light on how exactly selective exposure bolsters media users’ preexisting views as well as their self-concept. In the following, we review research on selective exposure to political information and its implications before we present empirical tests of hypotheses derived from this review.

Most prior work has been concerned with *predictors* of selective exposure to political messages. In spite of ample research on selective exposure as a preference for attitude-consistent messages, usually embedded in the theoretical framework of cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1957), the debate on the coherence and strength of the empirical evidence has been on-going for decades. A recent meta-analysis by D’Alessio and Allen (2007) judged the evidence as consistent but weak. Yet it focused on post-decisional dissonances, which are not the typical context of media use. With regard to selective
exposure in an actual media use context, existent investigations are somewhat scarce (AUTHORS, in press). Recent media use studies suggest that respondents do prefer attitude-consistent messages or channels and avoid counter-attitudinal material (e.g., Garrett, 2006; Graf & Aday, 2008; Stroud, 2008). In our own work, we recently found consistent and clear-cut evidence for selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages across different indicators, different topics, and based on behavioral data. Before we examine further consequences of such exposure in the current investigation, a replication of these earlier findings will be pursued.

H1: Media users prefer attitude-consistent topic information over counter-attitudinal information.

Research about the exposure bias toward attitude-consistent messages often mentions problems for democratic ideals as a result of this bias. It may hinder an informed opinion-formation, polarize the electorate, and reduce political tolerance (e.g., Bennett & Iyengar, 2008; Mutz, 2002; Sunstein, 2001). However, a research focus on outcomes of selective exposure along these lines is scarce and faced with some methodological issues. If selective exposure primarily fosters the status quo, then evidence of such outcomes may be difficult to capture. After all, changes are more easily demonstrated than stability, similar to testing H1 versus H0. Another methodological concern is self-selection effect, which is usually considered a problem in determining causation but is ‘the nature of the beast’ in this context.

Prior research has demonstrated differences between individuals with varying levels of attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal exposure. Mutz (2002) found that having interpersonal networks with greater political disagreement resulted in a lower
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voting intention at US presidential elections compared to individuals with more
homogeneous networks. Likewise, research by Brynin and Newton (2003) suggests that
citizens who read a newspaper in line with political party-preference are significantly
more likely to vote in general elections than those who read a paper that is incompatible
with their party preferences. Stroud (2007) found that those who viewed the anti-
President George W. Bush documentary “Fahrenheit 9/11” had significantly more
negative attitudes toward Bush compared to those who intended to view the film.

In other content contexts, reinforcement of the self has been suggested to be a
crucial motivation for selective exposure (Author et al., 2005, 2007, in press; Slater,
2007), for example, for gender identity or ethnic identity. In the context of political
messages in which the issue of selective exposure emerged as a communication research
topic, the political self as party partisan is of key interest (e.g., Lazarsfeld et al., 1944)
and highly relevant for voting outcomes. Cognitive dissonance theory has been guiding
much research in this area; yet it has not been connected with self-concepts in the context
of mass communication use.

However, within psychology, connections between cognitive dissonance theory
and self-concepts have been discussed extensively. For example, Aaronson (1968)
presented a self-consistency model that predicts that inconsistency of information or
actions with the self-concept will produce dissonance (instead of any inconsistent
cognitions). According to this view, individuals will prefer information that corroborates
their self-concept, even if the particular aspect is negative (e.g., someone who believes to
have poor math skills should prefer a poor math test result). Many other propositions
about the self exist that have also been linked to cognitive dissonance theory (e.g., self-
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verification theory by Swann, 1987; self-affirmation theory by Steele, 1988; the New Look at dissonance theory by Cooper & Fazio, 1984), as reviewed by Harmon-Jones and Mills (1999). It has been suggested that self-esteem is just a special case of an attitude that happens to have the self as object of evaluation (DeMarree, Petty, & Brinol, 2007). This matches Festinger’s (1957) proposition that the magnitude of dissonance relates to the importance attached to the involved cognitions—if the self is the object of inconsistent cognitions, then dissonance will be intense, as the self is naturally of crucial importance.

In light of these considerations, it is important to examine how selective exposure to political media messages actually affects the political self in the sense of party partisanship. Yet it is unlikely that such exposure will have a tangible impact in terms of change in the sense of conversion (Klapper, 1960). In other words, after reading some political messages even over an extended period, a conversion from Republican to Democrat and vice versa will be quite rare. However, reinforcement could be detected through implicit measures (Fazio & Olson, 2003) such as accessibility of party partisanship as political self-concept (Markus, 1977). If a pre-existing political view or view of the self becomes more accessible, this type of change could actually capture a reinforcement effect. Accessibility measures are frequently used in attitude research (e.g., Fazio, 1995), but many parallels exist between attitude strength and strength of self-concepts, in particular with regard to accessibility (DeMarree, Petty, & Briñol, 2007).

Thus the current study draws on accessibility of attitudes and of political partisanship as the ‘political self’ to examine any impacts of selective exposure. Greater accessibility of pre-existing attitudes is considered to indicate reinforcement. In this
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regard, the current approach relates to work on media priming and political priming in particular (see for an overview Roskos-Ewoldsen, Roskos-Ewoldsen, Carpentier, 2009), even though this body of literature utilizes forced-exposure designs only. Priming research studies short-term impact of exposure to the media on judgments and behaviors. These impacts are said to result from increased accessibility of constructs primed by the media message. It is also argued that priming can foster chronic accessibility of constructs portrayed in the media and thus produce long-term shifts in information processing. Hence, as media recipients attend selectively to messages, they can employ them to prime themselves in line with any ideas they value and thus reinforce them. The cognitive processes that then evolve, once the message selection has occurred, can be described along the lines of network models of memory or mental models (Carpentier, Roskos-Ewoldsen, & Roskos-Ewoldsen, 2008).

On a cautionary note, the operationalization of reinforcement through accessibility may not be perfect, as this implicit measure that may be subject to other influences in addition to the targeted reinforcement effect. From an information processing perspective, it is possible that additional information received during selective exposure, even if consistent with preexisting attitudes or partisanship and thus reinforcing, instigates elaborations and reorganization of political knowledge and associated viewpoints. In other words, even exposure to attitude-consistent information could reduce accessibility, as recipients aim to incorporate the newly received information into their mindset. Such elaboration and knowledge reorganization would reduce accessibility of one’s attitudes and political self-concept, at least in the short run.
Despite this concern, accessibility of attitudes and self-concepts appears very suitable to operationalize a phenomenon as subtle as reinforcement.

Thus the current study draws on accessibility of attitudes and of political partisanship as the ‘political self’ to examine reinforcement impacts of selective exposure. Theoretical notions about cognitive consistency motivations (outlined above) imply that media users will prefer attitude-consistent messages in order to foster attitude strength. Such reinforcement, if successful, should be reflected in increased accessibility of the related attitudes. Similarly, a preference for political information that is consistent with one’s political partisanship should serve the reinforcement of one’s political self-concept. If successful, the reinforcement would be reflected in greater accessibility of the political self-concept. The suggested pattern should apply in particular to topics for which the political parties have clearly different stances and if individuals’ topic attitudes converge with parties’ stances. The current study aims to demonstrate these patterns based on the hypotheses stated below.

H2: Selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages increases accessibility of corresponding attitudes.

H3: Selective exposure to attitude-consistent political messages increases accessibility of the political self.

Method

Overview

An experiment with two sessions, with complete data from both sessions for 157 participants, was conducted in a lab and online (n = 66/91). Table 1 lists the elements of the experimental procedure. In the first session, participants responded to a computerized
questionnaire about 12 political issue attitudes, attitude certainty, attitude importance, political self-concept, political interest, and news use habits. Accessibility data were collected based on attitude response times. In the second session, approx. four weeks later, participants were asked to browse through an online news magazine. Four policy issues (target issues) chosen from the 12 issues were covered by the eight displayed articles, with two articles featuring opposing topic perspectives. Selective exposure time to specific news reports was unobtrusively logged by software. After the browsing period, participants completed a questionnaire that repeated measures for attitudes and political self-concept.

Respondents

Participants were recruited from undergraduate communication classes at a large Midwestern university and received extra credit for participation. The sample consisted of 157 complete data sets with data from both sessions (after excluding 14 individuals that had clicked on one or less of the available articles and thus did hardly engage in news reading). The two methods of data collection, lab and online (n = 66/91), led to different gender proportions in the two samples (43% males in the lab sample and 25% males in the online sample). Age did not differ by data collection method and was 21.4 (SD = 2.6) on average.

Procedure

The main experiment consisted of two sessions that were either conducted in a computer lab or online. The first data collection part was performed 01/23/08-02/01/08, the second 02/20/08-02/29/08, hence during the onset of the presidential primaries 2008.
In the following, we describe the lab procedure and then point out the differences of the online procedure.

**Lab procedure.** Participants signed up for session times upon instructors’ in-class invitation to earn extra credit. The lab sessions were both run in a facility with five identical personal computers in separate rooms. When arriving for the first lab session, the respondents received general verbal instructions that were then reiterated via computer screen. Respondents were led to individual research rooms and started the computerized session, which was programmed with MediaLab and DirectRT. The questions (displayed on separate screens) pertained to attitudes, attitude certainty, attitude importance, demographics, self-descriptions including politically relevant adjectives, political interest, and news use habits; additional variables were derived from these responses (see details in the sections about measures). To link the data from the two sessions, the last four digits of participants SSNs were recorded, which ostensibly served as backup for proper recording of extra credit.

About four weeks later, students recruited from the same classes were invited to the computer lab again, yet without knowing the connection between the two sessions. After the general greeting, they were instructed as follows: “In the following, you will see a test-version of the magazine. Please browse through to gain an impression of the articles. The scheduled time does not allow reading all articles. So please read what you find interesting, just as you normally would. There is no assigned number of articles that you should read, and you don’t have to read the articles as a whole. After the scheduled browsing time is over, a questionnaire will upload automatically, and you will be asked about your impressions of the articles.”
Then participants were asked to start the computerized experiment (programmed with MediaLab, DirectRT, and Authorware). The first instruction page presented the same information as the verbal instruction; the online magazine was displayed for 5 minutes, until a news evaluations questionnaire was uploaded to provide closure for the browsing part. These questions asked how “credible/important/biased/interesting/timely/well-written/relevant” the articles were; the items were rated on 7-point scales, ranging from “not at all” to “extremely.” Finally, the parts of the first session about attitudes (dichotomous and Likert measures) and self-descriptions were essentially repeated (see details in sections on independent and dependent measures).

**Online procedure.** For the online procedure, potential participants received an email invitation from their instructors with the same information as featured in the in-class announcement for the lab procedure. They were informed of some technical requirements, as the online procedure was set up so that a Windows PC with an Internet browser (typically Internet Explorer) had to be used and a web-player had to be downloaded to execute the application programmed in Authorware. The first screen page instructed the participants that the session required full attention and allowed them to quit if they wanted to take the session at a later point. The specific instructions were as follows.

“Thank you for accessing this research application. Before you start, please be informed that it is absolutely crucial that you are not distracted while taking the session. Distraction will be reflected in the data and will result in unusable scores. The session will take about 10 minutes. If this is not a good time for you to take the session, please return later. *Press "X" to exit and return later* If you'd like to proceed now, please ensure that you won't be distracted (e.g. turn off your cell phone/TV, shut the door). *Press SPACEBAR to continue*”
The rest of the procedure was equivalent to the lab procedure. The Authorware application mimicked all the details of the look from the MediaLab and DirectRT application used in the lab procedure and also recorded response times (regarding reliability of such measures recorded in Authorware, see Tew and McGraw, 2002). For the second session, participants received another email invitation and completed the same procedure as in the lab online, set up in Authorware. The only difference was that they were again informed that the session required full attention, similar to the instruction quoted above, yet with an announced time span of 15 min.

**Measures pertaining to Attitudes, Self Concept, and other Recipient Characteristics**

**Attitude (dichotomous).** Before providing attitude data in both sessions, participants completed a practice trial to become familiar with the task and were instructed as follows:

The first task serves to show you how things work and to familiarize you with the procedure. In this task words will be presented for you to classify into groups—positive and negative adjectives. This task requires that you classify items as quickly as you can while making as few mistakes as possible. Going too slow or making too many mistakes will result in an uninterpretable score.

Press one of two keys to categorize the displayed word.
The key with the symbol “Z” indicates NEGATIVE adjective.
The key with the symbol “/” indicates POSITIVE adjective.
It works best to keep one index finger above both these keys for quick responses.

Six adjectives (marvelous, superb, pleasure, painful, terrible, awful) showed up on each new screen in a random sequence. On each screen, the adjective appeared in the center with “Negative” and “Positive” at the left and right bottom respectively. Once a participant pressed “Z” or “/”, the procedure continued to the next screen. A parallel practice trial was used in the second session, with insects and flowers to be categorized.
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(tulip, bee, ant, orchid, beetle, daisy, with “Insect” and “Flower” shown at the left and right bottom of the screen respectively.)

For the actual dichotomous measurement of attitudes, eight political filler issues were presented first in randomized sequence and then the four target issues relevant for the later exposure measurement were displayed (see Table 2 for the target issues) in randomized sequence, with three words for each topic. Respondents were asked to choose either “oppose” or “support” by pressing corresponding keys. The specific instruction over several screen pages was as follows.

In the following, you will be asked about topics where people can have very different opinions. Please keep in mind that there are no ‘right’ or ‘wrong’ answers for these questions and that we are only interested in your personal views and various aspects of your opinion.

All collected information is anonymous. Only information that cannot be linked to you as a person will be collected.

We are interested in your spontaneous reactions. Thus please answer each question as quickly as possible, but not so quickly that you might make errors.

Press one of two keys to indicate whether you support or oppose a policy. The key with the symbol “Z” indicates that you oppose a policy. The key with the symbol “/” indicates that you support a policy. Please keep your index finger above these two number keys to increase response speed.

Again, please answer each question as quickly as possible, but not so quickly that you might make errors.

*Attitude accessibility.* The attitude measures in dichotomous format also served to gather accessibility data through response times for indicated support regarding each political issue. The computerized procedure recorded the response latency as a measure of attitude accessibility (Fazio, 1995).

*Attitude (Likert scale).* In the first session, respondents were asked to rate how strongly they oppose or support the policies on a 5-point Likert scale, with “strongly support/somewhat support/neither support nor oppose/somewhat oppose/strongly oppose.”
 oppose” as response options. In the second session, respondents were asked to rate how strongly they oppose or support the policies on a 6-point Likert scale, with strongly support/overall support/rather support/rather oppose/overall oppose/strongly oppose as response options.

**Attitude extremity.** An attitude extremity measure was derived from the attitude Likert scale from the first session, with “strongly support” and “strongly oppose” being coded as 3, “somewhat support” and “somewhat oppose” coded as 2, and “neither support nor oppose” coded as 1.

**Attitude certainty.** Participants indicated in the first session how certain they were about their opinions towards the policies on a 5-point Likert scale, with “not at all certain/somewhat certain/fairly certain/very certain/extremely certain” as response options.

**Attitude importance.** Participants were asked in the first session how important the issues were to them personally on a 5-point Likert scale, “not at all important/somewhat important/fairly important/very important/extremely important.”

**Political self.** In both sessions, self-descriptions were collected. The approach was in line with procedures by Markus (1977) and more recently, in communication research, Comello & Slater (2009). The instructions were displayed over several screens as follows:

In the following, you will be asked about yourself based on characteristics that may or may not apply to you. We’d like to get your spontaneous responses, so please press the response key quickly to indicate whether a characteristic applies to you or not.

The key with the symbol “/” indicates that the characteristic applies to you; it equals “ME”. The key with the symbol “Z” indicates that the characteristic does not apply to you; it equals “NOT ME”.


It works best to keep one index finger above both these keys for quick responses.

Then 24 adjectives concerning personal characteristics were displayed on separate screens, with the adjective displayed in the center, and “Me” and “Not me” shown at the right and left bottom of the screen respectively. Once a participant pressed “/” or “Z”, a new screen was automatically uploaded. First, 16 filler items such as “moody”, “sympathetic,” and “imaginative” were presented in a randomized sequence. Then the four target adjectives “conservative,” “liberal,” “Republican”, and “Democrat”, embedded in four other politically relevant descriptions (e.g., “patriotic”), were shown in randomized order. The response times in this task were recorded in milliseconds.

**Political self accessibility.** Political self accessibility was derived from averaged response times for the four target adjectives.

**Political interest.** Two questions in the first session were concerned with political interest and asked how closely respondents followed news about government and public affairs and news about a recent election on a 4-point scale, “very closely/not too closely/somewhat closely/not at all closely.”

**News use habits.** Participants rated their news use frequency in the first session for online news, daily newspaper, TV news, political websites, and talk/comedy shows about news and politics on a 6-point scale, “every day/several times a week/once a week/several times a month/once a month/less often.”

**Experimental Internet Magazine**

**Display of available articles.** The experimental Web magazine was programmed with Authorware specifically for this study. This online news magazine had a similar look and feel to popular news magazines currently on the Internet (see Figure 1). A
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masthead of the name and logo of the experimental platform—“American’s national
forum—online opinion”—was displayed across the top of the Web site. In addition, a
navigation bar was placed on the left-hand side of the page. Although it was deactivated,
the displayed navigation bar contained newspaper section headings such as Economics,
Science, and so forth, which would be commonly found on a news site. The main frame
initially contained an overview, which listed news leads for all available articles in two
columns.

The overview page (see Figure 1) showed eight news leads that each contained a
headline, a news lead, and a hyperlink to access the actual article. The news leads were
about the same length with 24 to 28 words. The positions of news leads on the overview
page were randomized for each participant to prevent position effects. However, the two
articles about the same issue were never displayed next or above each other. The
respondents made their reading selections by clicking on the hyperlinks to articles,
scrolling through the selected articles and reading as much of them as they cared to,
clicking to return to the overview, selecting other articles (or returning to the abandoned
ones), and so forth, until the end of the reading period. Whenever a participant accessed
or exited an article page via hyperlinks, Authorware logged the activity to accumulate
selective exposure times.

*News leads and article texts.* The news leads and articles were adopted from an
earlier study (Authors, in press). For this prior study, a pretest of news leads had been
conducted (with participants from the same population as used for the current study) to
select two opposing leads that were perceived as different in political stance while being
equally interesting. These criteria led to the selection of the four target issues. A separate
stimuli test with participants from the same population had established that (when controlling for readers’ attitudes), text pairs for all topics did not differ significantly for level of interest.

The four policy issues and the eight headlines of the displayed articles were (a) “Universal health care”, “Personalized health coverage”; (b) “Firearm threat”, “Self-defense rights”; (c) “Cruelty of pro-choice”, “Abortion is pro-life”; (d) “Increase minimum wage”, “Wage raising hurts.” The eight employed articles were pulled from partisan and lobbying Web sites of heritage.org, nraila.org, and others. All articles were minimally edited, essentially shortened, to equalize their length to about 705—719 words ($M = 716$, $SD = 5.2$). Readability scores within each pair of articles were comparable, based on Flesch reading ease scores (which can range between 0 and 100): 36 and 36 for minimum wage; 33 and 37 for health care; 53 and 47 for gun control; 56 and 51 for abortion. Sans-serif typeface Verdana was used for all articles. Regular scrolling allowed access to the full text of the articles.

The articles not only used rhetoric but featured ample topic-related details to make a case regarding the issue in question, such as statistics, dates, budgets, descriptions of policies in specific US states or abroad; none of the articles employed exemplars. Sources mentioned in the articles often came for research circles (researchers, institutions, journals) or represented other fairly neutral institutions such as the Census Bureau, the World Health Organization, or FBI crime reports. However, lobbying sources such as the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence or a union movement America Needs a Raise were also referred to. In the context of minimum wage, one article mentioned both Democrats and Republicans in the first article paragraph, whereas the other article talked about a
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Congress bill in the middle of the text (after 316 body text words) and used the word “Democrats” three times in this paragraph. It is worthwhile to note these details in order to highlight that the references to the Republican and the Democrat party carried negligible weight in the presented text material. Hence, it is very unlikely that any increased accessibility of political partisanship could result from simple priming instead of the suggested attitude-related reinforcement and the resulting political self-concept reinforcement.

Selective Exposure Measures

The software application tracked participants’ exposure in seconds by logging every hyperlink use. Exposure was operationalized as article choice (clicked on hyperlink leading to article or not) and reading time in seconds. More specifically, the key dependent measure was exposure to news articles with either attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal perspective. For each political issue, the dichotomous measurement of attitudes from the first data-collection session was employed to code articles and exposure to them as attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal on an individual basis. The following measures were generated from hyperlink clicks for individual articles to test the hypotheses: selection of (a) attitude-consistent articles and (b) counterattitudinal articles, exposure time in seconds dedicated to (c) attitude-consistent articles and (d) counter-attitudinal articles. For a-d, measures were generated for each specific article but also accumulated selections and exposure times.

Results

Preliminary Analyses
Respondent characteristics. A condensed measure was created for political self-concept. After reversing two of the four target self-descriptions, the reliability of the responses for these yielded a Cronbach’s alpha of .86. Hence, the scores could be condensed as a mean index (higher scores represented a more liberal orientation). Based on this measure 46 individuals identified themselves consistently as Republican/conservative, and 17 leaned toward this category but gave one inconsistent response. Fifty individuals identified themselves consistently as Democrat/liberal, and 20 leaned toward this category with one inconsistent response out of four. Twenty-one individuals’ responses were inconsistent to the effect that they could not be classified.

An index for political self accessibility was derived from averaged response times for the four target self-descriptions. The average response time was 1326 ms (SD = 485). Due to the nature of the index (in contrast to a scale), a reliability score was not computed; accessibility is commonly measured with response time for just one item, which does not allow computing reliability scores in the first place\(^3\). However, greater accessibility was associated with greater consistency in the responses as explained in the prior paragraph ($r = .27, p = .002$) and lower within-subject standard deviation ($r = .22, p = .012$), which speaks to concurrent validity of the measure.

The reliability for the news use habits items was .67 and thus sufficient to create a news use frequency index. The political interest index consisted of the mean of two items that were correlated at $r = .66 (p < .001)$. News use and political interest were correlated at $r = .55 (p < .001)$.

Descriptive statistics for attitudes. On average, participants indicated that they supported an increase of the minimum wage and favored universal health care as well as
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stricter gun control; opinions on abortion were somewhat more diverse (see Table 2). Participants’ certainty of their abortion attitude was significantly higher than for minimum wage ($t(151) = 2.0, p = .050$) and gun control ($t(151) = 3.8, p < .001$). Gun control was significantly less important to participants than universal healthcare ($t(151) = 2.8, p = .006$) and abortion ($t(151) = 3.4, p = .001$); the minimum wage issue was less important than abortion ($t(151) = 2.1, p = .038$).

**Convergence of attitudes with political party stances.** For the examination of H3, the assumption that individuals’ topic attitudes converge with parties’ stances regarding these topics is of crucial importance. After all, it is possible that someone who thinks of himself/herself as a Republican favors pro-choice abortion policies nonetheless. In that case, attitude-consistent exposure for this particular topic could actually undermine partisanship as political self-concept. However, individuals’ attitudes for the four target topics were generally highly consistent with the viewpoints supported by the favored party. This was demonstrated by an inter-item reliability analysis that employed the dichotomous attitude responses for the four issues (which were all worded in pro-liberal terms) and the four target adjectives for political self (two of them recoded). Cronbach’s alpha was .79 for this analysis.

**Selective exposure.** As mentioned above, 14 individuals had been excluded from the sample as they had clicked on one or less of the available articles and thus did not appear to have engaged in news reading. For the remaining sample, participants spent 61 seconds ($SD = 55$) on the overview page that displayed the news leads. The minimum time for the overview page was 7 seconds, the maximum 232 seconds. On average, participants clicked on 3.5 articles ($SD = 1.9$), with a range from zero to eight. On
average, participants spent 98 s ($SD = 82$) on the topic of abortion, 54 s ($SD = 64$) on
gun-related articles, 47 s ($SD = 52$) on texts pertaining to the minimum wage, and 40 s
($SD = 60$) on articles about health insurance. With regard to potential multicollinearity
issues in later regression analyses, it is relevant to note that the selective exposure
indicators (as described per a-d under “Dependent Measures”) were only moderately
correlated, with significant correlations ranging from $|.19|$ to $|.59|$.

Comparison of lab and online sample. Extensive tests were run to detect if the
two data collection approaches yielded differences in the variables of interest. No
differences were found for news use habits, political interest, attitudes (baseline), attitude
accessibility (baseline), attitude importance, attitude extremity, political self (baseline),
and political self accessibility (baseline) ($p = .20$ for one variable, $p > .43$ for the other
characteristics). The only difference worth mentioning was a generally higher attitude
certainty reported in a lab setting ($M = 4.25$ versus $4.02$, $SD = .6$ versus $.8$), $t(169) = 2.3$,
$p = .026$.

Impact of Attitudes on Selective Exposure

Participants clicked on $2.0$ ($SD = 1.0$) articles that featured attitude-consistent
views and on $1.7$ articles ($SD = 1.1$) with a counter-attitudinal stance. This difference was
significant in an ANOVA with the article choices as repeated measures ($F(1, 157) = 10.6$,
$p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .063$) and data collection method as between-factor ($n.s.$). For exposure
times, participants spent 139 seconds on average ($SD = 74$) on attitude-consistent
information and 95 seconds ($SD = 70$) on counter-attitudinal messages. The difference
was again significant ($F(1, 157) = 14.6$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = .085$), while the data collection
method was irrelevant ($n.s.$) when applying the same ANOVA model to exposure times.
The ANOVA model was extended by using the four topics as another within-factor. Both the topic dimension ($F(3, 471) = 15.5, p < .001, \eta^2 = .090$) and the dimension of the attitude-consistent versus counter-attitudinal choices ($F(1, 157) = 10.6, p = .001, \eta^2 = .063$) had significant impact on selections. The same ANOVA design with the same within-factors but exposure time as repeated measures yielded that, for amount of exposure, both topic ($F(3, 471) = 17.9, p < .001, \eta^2 = .102$) and the contrast of attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal ($F(1, 157) = 14.6, p < .001, \eta^2 = .085$) mattered. More importantly, both ANOVAs did not yield an interaction ($p > .76$) between the two within-factors, which shows that the preference for attitude-consistent information was uniform and applied regardless of topic. These findings support H1. Method of data collection had been included as between-group factor but did not show an impact (n.s.).

Finally, we compared for how many topics participants accessed through (a) only attitude-consistent messages, or (b) only counter-attitudinal messages, or (c) both types of messages. On average, participants looked at .98 topics (SD = .92) only based on attitude-consistent information. Both sides were viewed for 1.06 (SD = 1.05) topics. Only counter-attitudinal exposure for a topic was less common, with .67 topics on average (SD = .72); the difference was significant compared to (a) and (b) in paired $t$ tests ($t(158) = 3.1/3.5, p < .002$).

Implications of Selective Exposure on Attitude Accessibility

Examinations of selective exposure effects on attitude accessibilities were conducted for issue-specific accessibility and across the four issues (condensed by averaging response times). The regression analyses employed exposure times for attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal messages, attitude importance and certainty, as well as
a variable that indicated if an attitude change had occurred when considering the
dichotomous attitude measures from the first and the second research session, finally the
base-line response time and mode of data collection as controls.

These analyses did not yield consistent effect patterns. No selective exposure
effects were found for attitude accessibilities regarding healthcare and abortion. For gun
control, longer exposure to counter-attitudinal content increased the response time for the
corresponding attitude (beta = .17, \( p = .040 \)). For minimum wage, attitude-consistent
exposure tended to reduce the response time (beta = -.13, \( p = .095 \)). Details are reported in
Table 3. No exposure effects on accessibility were found for the condensed variables
across issues.

**Implications of Selective Exposure on Political Self Accessibility**

Effects of selective exposure on the accessibility of political self-concept were
examined through three regression analyses, using (1) article choices for attitude-
consistent or counter-attitudinal messages, (2) exposure times for attitude-consistent or
counter-attitudinal messages, and (3) number of topics accessed for attitude-consistent or
counter-attitudinal message only. Results of these three analyses are summarized in Table
4. The 21 participants who did not clearly identify themselves as partisan of a political
party were excluded from this analysis. The following variables served as controls: data
collection mode, base line accessibility of the political self, average attitude importance,
average attitude certainty, average attitude extremity, news use habits, political interest,
political self as political partisanship, and how many of the four topics were accessed.

The regression model with choices of counter-attitudinal and attitude-consistent
messages as predictors yielded a significant impact of frequency of attitude-consistent
message choices on the response times for political self descriptors in the second session 
\( (\beta = .33, p = .003) \). Hence, the more often attitude-consistent content was clicked on,
the faster participants responded to politically relevant self-descriptions. The only control
variable that had significant impact was the baseline accessibility of the political self (see 
Table 4).

A parallel analysis was run using exposure times for counter-attitudinal and
attitude-consistent messages as predictors. The more time participants spent on counter-
attitudinal content, the slower they were when responding to politically relevant self-
descriptions \( (\beta = -.24, p = .027) \). For the control variables, data collection mode was
again irrelevant \( (n.s.) \) but response times for political self descriptors from the first
session had, of course, a significant impact (see Table 4).

To look into effects of different exposure constellations, such as reading only the
attitude-consistent message on a topic or only the counter-attitudinal text on an issue, an
additional analysis was performed. It utilized the number of topics for which participants
had accessed only attitude-consistent or only counter-attitudinal messages. These
indicators could vary between zero and four. It revealed that reading about more topics—
and maybe none in depth—led to greater accessibility of the political self concept \( (\beta = 
.18, p = .034) \). It also demonstrated that more reading topics with counter-attitudinal
exposure only, the lower the accessibility of the political self \( (\beta = -.24, p = .003) \). As
in the prior analyses, the baseline accessibility had a significant impact, whereas data
collection mode did not (see Table 4).

Discussion
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It has long been argued that much of media use is motivated by an interest to bolster existing attitudes, including attitudes regarding the self. The present findings replicate evidence (see Authors, in press) that media recipients prefer messages in line with pre-existing political attitudes over counter-attitudinal content. This impact was again found to apply regardless of political issue and media use context (lab situation versus individual online use context).

More importantly, selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages in general increased accessibility of the political self-concept, whereas exposure to counter-attitudinal messages decreased it. This is an impressive corroboration that selective media exposure allows bolstering the self-concept. This phenomenon is generally difficult to capture, as it represents stability and reinforcement but not change in the sense of conversion. However, by looking at reinforcement as a change and increase of accessibility, empirical demonstration was successful.

Yet, evidence for reinforcement of specific preexisting attitudes through selective exposure was inconsistent. We believe that the information related to specific topics may occasionally have produced longer response times and thus lower accessibility as participants were trying to integrate the information received during exposure into their views. The positive impact of number of accessed topics, with more topics signaling more superficial reading, on accessibility of the political self concept speaks for that notion. Or participants may have encountered additional issue information in the media between the first and the second session (during the onset of the presidential primaries in early 2008). As a result, even if a preference for attitude-consistent messages is exhibited, this may encourage recipients to reconsider an issue when asked about their views right
after exposure. Hence, attitudes could be reinforced in the long run, but the measures collected right after exposure were not suited to show that. Yet the political self-concept, which can be seen as a much broader attitude regarding the self, was strengthened by attitude-consistent selective exposure for particular issues related to this self-concept domain; it was thus apparently not affected by processing of specifics.

We have focused on one particular domain of the self-concept—the political self. It is interesting that the self-bolstering pattern could be observed for an identity facet that appears to have comparatively low importance for self descriptions. Smith (2007) reports that political party preference ranked lowest by far (4%) when people were asked to select the three most important out of ten categories to describe who they are. Obviously, facets of the self can be rendered salient by the given context (e.g., Markus & Wurf, 1987), which was a political messages web site in the current study. Yet it is likely that greater impacts on media use will occur for aspects of the self that are generally more accessible.

Gender, for instance, is generally considered to be crucial for self-perceptions and even general psychological adjustment (e.g., Oheron & Orlofsky, 1990). In line with the idea of self-bolstering media use, children have long been said to prefer same-sex media characters (e.g., Hoffner, 1996; Author et al., 2005), which most likely serves a motivation to develop a gender-based identity in the first place. Further examples include women’s preference for relationship issues and melodramatic fiction on the one hand and men’s preference for sports and other competition-related content and violent entertainment on the other hand (e.g., Authors, 2007; Oliver, Weaver, & Sargent, 1998). Most likely without any awareness, media users may strive to sustain internalization of
gender-typed norms to match those expectations. Experimental evidence for these
selection patterns and subsequent impacts on behavior have been provided by Authors
(2006). But clear indications of selective exposure effects on gendered self-perceptions
define a void in existing research.

Obviously, the current analysis leaves many questions unanswered regarding
selective media exposure in the interest of reinforcement of the self. As the current study
employed a student sample, which presents problems to the generalizability, additional
research with a more diverse sample is in the making. This follow-up work might also
reveal to what extent the political climate and context of the data collection time period
affects selective exposure and its consequences in any way. Furthermore, how long do
these self-reinforcement effects last? What ceiling and floor effects exist? In other words,
can self-concepts be too strong or too weak to evoke reinforcing selective exposure? Do
the patterns demonstrated for the political self also affect categories that relate to
ethnicity, family status, occupation, age, etc. that have been found to be more salient than
political categories (Smith, 2007)?

Reinforcement of the self may well be the type of effect that media users seek
most frequently and habitually. If individuals strive for self-consistency and stability,
even though their selves are dynamic and subject to continuous fluctuation and adaptation
(e.g., Markus & Wurf, 1987), media use may offer great tools for ‘consistency
maintenance’ (Ibid., p. 300). This motivation might be the origin of those
overwhelmingly strong media use patterns that have become ‘common sense’ knowledge
about media preferences. On the other hand, media use may not only serve ‘self
maintenance’ but also render self facets salient as deemed useful per situational
circumstances. The complexity and dynamics of the self may be crucial for many media
use situations, though difficult to tackle as a psychological process.
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Table 1

*Overview of Experimental Computerized Procedure (administered in a lab or online)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First Session</th>
<th>Second Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>News Browsing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Trial</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude (dichotomous)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude (Likert)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Certainty</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Importance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Self</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Interest</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>News Use Habits</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Attitude Likert scale was a 5-point scale in the first session and a 6-point scale in the second session.
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Table 2

Descriptive Statistics of Attitude Measures (n = 152)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Certainty</th>
<th>Importance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1=support or 2=oppose)</td>
<td>(1=support, 5=oppose)</td>
<td>(1=not at all, 5=extremely)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricter Gun Control</td>
<td>1.26 (.44)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Abortion--Pro-Choice</td>
<td>1.38 (.49)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Health Care</td>
<td>1.14 (.35)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Minimum Wage</td>
<td>1.17 (.38)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

Impacts of Selective Exposure to Messages Related to Political Attitudes on Accessibility of Political Attitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Abortion</th>
<th>Health Insurance</th>
<th>Minimum Wage</th>
<th>Gun Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Attitude-Consistent Message</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.13*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Counter-Attitudinal Message</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base-Line Accessibility</td>
<td>.49***</td>
<td>.34***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2 .25 .15 .11 .05

Note. Beta weights from topic-specific regression analyses. One asterisk for p < .05; two for < .01; three for < .001; plus sign for < .10. Hyphen indicates non-significant beta.

None of the additional four control variables (not listed) showed significant impact.
Table 4

Impacts of Selective Exposure to Messages Related to Political Attitudes on Accessibility of Political Self-Concept (beta weights)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Models by Selective Exposure Measures used as Predictors</th>
<th>Article Choices</th>
<th>Exposure Times</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Attitude-Consistent Message</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Counter-Attitudinal Message</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Topics Accessed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base-Line Accessibility</td>
<td>.51***</td>
<td>.54***</td>
<td>.51***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. One asterisk for p < .05; two for < .01; three for < .001. Hyphen indicates non-significant beta. None of the additional seven control variables (not listed) showed significant impact. For the model that employed ‘topics’ as selective exposure measure, the number of topics for which only the attitude-consistent or only the counter-attitudinal message was accessed served as predictors.
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Figure 1: Screenshot of Online Magazine

INCREASE MINIMUM WAGE
A study shows that raising the minimum wage does not cause job loss as extremist critics claim. Raising the minimum wage is the right thing to do. Article

ABORTION IS PRO-LIFE
There are many legitimate reasons for an abortion. What banning abortion means for human life—not for embryos or primitive fetuses, but real, living, breathing, thinking women. Article

FIREARM THREAT
Guns at home are definitively a threat to household members. More kids, teenagers and adult family members are dying from firearms in their home than criminal intruders. Article

PERSONALIZED HEALTH COVERAGE
Excessive health insurance regulation leads to high costs. Examples abound of how government policy has disrupted insurance markets and increased prices in many states. Article

SELF-DEFENSE RIGHTS
Gun storage laws would allow massive government invasion of gun owners’ homes. A wholesale attack on private gun ownership and the fundamental right to self-defense. Article

UNIVERSAL HEALTHCARE
Healthcare players profit from “Free Market” game; patients lose out. U.S. only industrialized country without guaranteed care. Public regulation must secure Americans’ health. Article

WAGE RAISING HURTS
Raising the minimum wage is a step in the wrong direction. It leads our nation further astray from the limited government and market-liberal order. Article

CRUELTY OF PRO-CHOICE
Abortion is a cruel and nasty method of easing a person’s burden. Arguments about health, economic conditions, and ethics all speak against “playing God” and terminating life. Article
Nowadays, it usually coins observations that media users do not allocate their media choices and time equally to the available cornucopia of media messages and demonstrate preference and avoidance patterns due to situational circumstances and personality factors (Author, 2006, 2008; Zillmann & Bryant, 1985).

Major political and economic events right before and during data collection (Pearson Education, n.d.; Federal Reserve Board, 2008): Presidential primaries begin with Iowa (1/3) and New Hampshire (1/8). McCain emerges as Republican front runner in primaries and caucuses held in 24 states (2/5). A week later, Democrat Obama wins three states, strengthening his lead over H. Clinton (2/12). President Bush proposes $145 billion stimulus package (1/18) and delivers last State of the Union (1/28). The Federal Reserve Bank cuts interest rates by .75% (1/22) and then .5% (1/30). Bureau of Labor Statistics reports that economy loses jobs first time in 52 Months (2/1). Senate passes stimulus package (2/7).

Email correspondence, 03/24/209, with Dr. David Ewoldsen, an expert in accessibility measures and communication research methods.

Recipients’ attitude change, based on these measures, could range from 0 to 4, as there were four target topics. The average attitude change was 0.48 ($SD = .79$).
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Abstract

The idea that recipients prefer messages which reinforce pre-existing attitudes and self perceptions has pervaded much communication research, but effects of selective exposure are rarely examined. This two session-experiment (n = 157) investigates such effects. The first session presented computerized questions on 12 political issue attitudes and political self-concept. Accessibility data were collected based on response times. In the second session, participants browsed through an online magazine including four of the 12 issues, each issue being covered by two articles featuring opposing viewpoints. Selective exposure was logged and categorized as attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal. Finally, a questionnaire repeated measures for attitudes and self-concept. The results show that participants preferred attitude-consistent over counter-attitudinal messages, which strengthened the political self-concept through increased accessibility.

KEYWORDS: selective exposure, attitudes, self-concept, identity, media, political self, accessibility
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The idea that individuals may prefer attitude-consistent messages has long been a concern, as this pattern would hinder an informed opinion formation according to democratic ideals. The term selective exposure traditionally refers to this phenomenon (e.g., Klapper, 1960; Lazarsfeld, Berelson, & Gaudet, 1944; Sears & Freedman, 1967). More recently, the issue attracts new attention as the Internet and ever-rising media offerings increase the available choices and make them easily accessible. Oftentimes, selective exposure is viewed as a key factor in protecting existing predispositions and favorable views of the self. Along these lines, it has been argued that the media mostly have effects that reinforce the status quo, which may lead to underestimating the total mass communication impacts (e.g., Klapper, 1960). Empirical evidence on these self-reinforcement phenomena still defines a void (Slater, 2007). The current investigation aims to shed more light on how exactly selective exposure bolsters media users’ preexisting views as well as their self-concept. In the following, we review research on selective exposure to political information and its implications before we present empirical tests of hypotheses derived from this review.

Most prior work has been concerned with predictors of selective exposure to political messages. In spite of ample research on selective exposure as a preference for attitude-consistent messages, usually embedded in the theoretical framework of cognitive dissonance (Festinger, 1957), the debate on the coherence and strength of the empirical evidence has been on-going for decades. A recent meta-analysis by D’Alessio and Allen (2007) judged the evidence as consistent but weak. Yet it focused on post-decisional dissonances, which are not the typical context of media use. With regard to selective exposure in an actual media use context, existent investigations are somewhat scarce (Knobloch-Westerwick & Meng, 2009). Recent media use
studies suggest that respondents do prefer attitude-consistent messages or channels and avoid counter-attitudinal material (e.g., Garrett, 2006; Graf & Aday, 2008; Stroud, 2008). In our own work, we recently found consistent and clear-cut evidence for selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages across different indicators, different topics, and based on behavioral data. Before we examine further consequences of such exposure in the current investigation, a replication of these earlier findings will be pursued.

H1: Media users prefer attitude-consistent topic information over counter-attitudinal information.

Research about the exposure bias toward attitude-consistent messages often mentions problems for democratic ideals as a result of this bias. It may hinder an informed opinion-formation, polarize the electorate, and reduce political tolerance (e.g., Bennett & Iyengar, 2008; Mutz, 2002; Sunstein, 2001). However, a research focus on outcomes of selective exposure along these lines is scarce and faced with some methodological issues. If selective exposure primarily fosters the status quo, then evidence of such outcomes may be difficult to capture. After all, changes are more easily demonstrated than stability, similar to testing H1 versus H0. Another methodological concern is self-selection effect, which is usually considered a problem in determining causation but is ‘the nature of the beast’ in this context.

Prior research has demonstrated differences between individuals with varying levels of attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal exposure. Mutz (2002) found that having interpersonal networks with greater political disagreement resulted in a lower voting intention at US presidential elections compared to individuals with more homogeneous networks. Likewise, research by Brynin and Newton (2003) suggests that citizens who read a newspaper in line with political party-preference are significantly more likely to vote in general elections than those...
who read a paper that is incompatible with their party preferences. Stroud (2007) found that those who viewed the anti-President George W. Bush documentary “Fahrenheit 9/11” had significantly more negative attitudes toward Bush compared to those who intended to view the film.

In other content contexts, reinforcement of the self has been suggested to be a crucial motivation for selective exposure (Knobloch, Coy, Chen, Fritzsche, & Zillmann, 2005; Knobloch-Westerwick, Appiah, & Alter, 2008; Knobloch-Westerwick & Meng, 2009; Slater, 2007), for example, for gender identity or ethnic identity. In the context of political messages in which the issue of selective exposure emerged as a communication research topic, the political self as party partisan is of key interest (e.g., Lazarsfeld et al., 1944) and highly relevant for voting outcomes. Cognitive dissonance theory has been guiding much research in this area; yet it has not been connected with self-concepts in the context of mass communication use.

However, within psychology, connections between cognitive dissonance theory and self-concepts have been discussed extensively. For example, Aaronson (1968) presented a self-consistency model that predicts that inconsistency of information or actions with the self-concept will produce dissonance (instead of any inconsistent cognitions). According to this view, individuals will prefer information that corroborates their self-concept, even if the particular aspect is negative (e.g., someone who believes to have poor math skills should prefer a poor math test result). Many other propositions about the self exist that have also been linked to cognitive dissonance theory (e.g., self-verification theory by Swann, 1987; self-affirmation theory by Steele, 1988; the New Look at dissonance theory by Cooper & Fazio, 1984), as reviewed by Harmon-Jones and Mills (1999). It has been suggested that self-esteem is just a special case of an attitude that happens to have the self as object of evaluation (DeMarree, Petty,
This matches Festinger’s (1957) proposition that the magnitude of dissonance relates to the importance attached to the involved cognitions—if the self is the object of inconsistent cognitions, then dissonance will be intense, as the self is naturally of crucial importance.

In light of these considerations, it is important to examine how selective exposure to political media messages actually affects the political self in the sense of party partisanship. Yet it is unlikely that such exposure will have a tangible impact in terms of change in the sense of conversion (Klapper, 1960). In other words, after reading some political messages even over an extended period, a conversion from Republican to Democrat and vice versa will be quite rare. However, reinforcement could be detected through implicit measures (Fazio & Olson, 2003) such as accessibility of party partisanship as political self-concept (Markus, 1977). If a pre-existing political view or view of the self becomes more accessible, this type of change could actually capture a reinforcement effect. Accessibility measures are frequently used in attitude research (e.g., Fazio, 1995), but many parallels exist between attitude strength and strength of self-concepts, in particular with regard to accessibility (DeMarree, Petty, & Briñol, 2007).

Thus the current study draws on accessibility of attitudes and of political partisanship as the ‘political self’ to examine any impacts of selective exposure. Greater accessibility of pre-existing attitudes is considered to indicate reinforcement. In this regard, the current approach relates to work on media priming and political priming in particular (see for an overview Roskos-Ewoldsen, Roskos-Ewoldsen, Carpentier, 2009), even though this body of literature utilizes forced-exposure designs only. Priming research studies short-term impact of exposure to the media on judgments and behaviors. These impacts are said to result from increased accessibility of constructs primed by the media message. It is also argued that priming can foster
chronic accessibility of constructs portrayed in the media and thus produce long-term shifts in information processing. Hence, as media recipients attend selectively to messages, they can employ them to prime themselves in line with any ideas they value and thus reinforce them. The cognitive processes that then evolve, once the message selection has occurred, can be described along the lines of network models of memory or mental models (Carpentier, Roskos-Ewoldsen, & Roskos-Ewoldsen, 2008).

On a cautionary note, the operationalization of reinforcement through accessibility may not be perfect, as this implicit measure that may be subject to other influences in addition to the targeted reinforcement effect. From an information processing perspective, it is possible that additional information received during selective exposure, even if consistent with preexisting attitudes or partisanship and thus reinforcing, instigates elaborations and reorganization of political knowledge and associated viewpoints. In other words, even exposure to attitude-consistent information could reduce accessibility, as recipients aim to incorporate the newly received information into their mindset. Such elaboration and knowledge reorganization would reduce accessibility of one’s attitudes and political self-concept, at least in the short run. Despite this concern, accessibility of attitudes and self-concepts appears very suitable to operationalize a phenomenon as subtle as reinforcement.

Thus the current study draws on accessibility of attitudes and of political partisanship as the ‘political self’ to examine reinforcement impacts of selective exposure. Theoretical notions about cognitive consistency motivations (outlined above) imply that media users will prefer attitude-consistent messages in order to foster attitude strength. Such reinforcement, if successful, should be reflected in increased accessibility of the related attitudes. Similarly, a preference for political information that is consistent with one’s political partisanship should
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serve the reinforcement of one’s political self-concept. If successful, the reinforcement would be reflected in greater accessibility of the political self-concept. The suggested pattern should apply in particular to topics for which the political parties have clearly different stances and if individuals’ topic attitudes converge with parties’ stances. The current study aims to demonstrate these patterns based on the hypotheses stated below.

H2: Selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages increases accessibility of corresponding attitudes.

H3: Selective exposure to attitude-consistent political messages increases accessibility of the political self.

Method

Overview

An experiment with two sessions, with complete data from both sessions for 157 participants, was conducted in a lab and online (n = 66/91). In the first session, participants responded to a computerized questionnaire about 12 political issue attitudes, attitude certainty, attitude importance, political self-concept, political interest, and news use habits. Accessibility data were collected based on attitude response times. In the second session, approx. four weeks later, participants were asked to browse through an online news magazine. Four policy issues (target issues) chosen from the 12 issues were covered by the eight displayed articles, with two articles featuring opposing topic perspectives. Selective exposure time to specific news reports was unobtrusively logged by software. After the browsing period, participants completed a questionnaire that repeated measures for attitudes and political self-concept.

Respondents
Participants were recruited from undergraduate communication classes at a large Midwestern university and received extra credit for participation. The sample consisted of 157 complete data sets with data from both sessions (after excluding 14 individuals that had clicked on one or less of the available articles and thus did hardly engage in news reading). The two methods of data collection, lab and online (n = 66/91), led to different gender proportions in the two samples (43% males in the lab sample and 25% males in the online sample). Age did not differ by data collection method and was 21.4 (SD = 2.6) on average.

Procedure

The main experiment consisted of two sessions that were either conducted in a computer lab or online. The first data collection part was performed 01/23/08-02/01/08, the second 02/20/08-02/29/08, hence during the onset of the presidential primaries 2008. In the following, we describe the lab procedure and then point out the differences of the online procedure.

Lab procedure. Participants signed up for session times upon instructors’ in-class invitation to earn extra credit. The lab sessions were both run in a facility with five identical personal computers in separate rooms. When arriving for the first lab session, the respondents received general verbal instructions that were then reiterated via computer screen. Respondents were led to individual research rooms and started the computerized session, which was programmed with MediaLab and DirectRT. The questions (displayed on separate screens) pertained to attitudes, attitude certainty, attitude importance, demographics, self-descriptions including politically relevant adjectives, political interest, and news use habits; additional variables were derived from these responses (see details in the sections about measures). To link the data from the two sessions, the last four digits of participants SSNs were recorded, which ostensibly served as backup for proper recording of extra credit.
About four weeks later, students recruited from the same classes were invited to the computer lab again, yet without knowing the connection between the two sessions. After the general greeting, they were instructed as follows: “In the following, you will see a test-version of the magazine. Please browse through to gain an impression of the articles. The scheduled time does not allow reading all articles. So please read what you find interesting, just as you normally would. There is no assigned number of articles that you should read, and you don’t have to read the articles as a whole. After the scheduled browsing time is over, a questionnaire will upload automatically, and you will be asked about your impressions of the articles.”

Then participants were asked to start the computerized experiment (programmed with MediaLab, DirectRT, and Authorware). The first instruction page presented the same information as the verbal instruction; the online magazine was displayed for 5 minutes, until a news evaluations questionnaire was uploaded to provide closure for the browsing part. These questions asked how “credible/important/biased/interesting timely/well-written/relevant” the articles were; the items were rated on 7-point scales, ranging from “not at all” to “extremely.” Finally, the parts of the first session about attitudes (dichotomous and Likert measures) and self-descriptions were essentially repeated (see details in sections on independent and dependent measures).

**Online procedure.** For the online procedure, potential participants received an email invitation from their instructors with the same information as featured in the in-class announcement for the lab procedure. They were informed of some technical requirements, as the online procedure was set up so that a Windows PC with an Internet browser (typically Internet Explorer) had to be used and a web-player had to be downloaded to execute the application programmed in Authorware. The first screen page instructed the participants that the session
required full attention and allowed them to quit if they wanted to take the session at a later point.

The specific instructions were as follows.

“Thank you for accessing this research application. Before you start, please be informed that it is absolutely crucial that you are not distracted while taking the session. Distraction will be reflected in the data and will result in unusable scores. The session will take about 10 minutes. If this is not a good time for you to take the session, please return later. <Press "X" to exit and return later> If you’d like to proceed now, please ensure that you won’t be distracted (e.g., turn off your cell phone/TV, shut the door). <Press SPACEBAR to continue>”

The rest of the procedure was equivalent to the lab procedure. The Authorware application mimicked all the details of the look from the MediaLab and DirectRT application used in the lab procedure and also recorded response times (regarding reliability of such measures recorded in Authorware, see Tew and McGraw, 2002). For the second session, participants received another email invitation and completed the same procedure as in the lab online, set up in Authorware.

The only difference was that they were again informed that the session required full attention, similar to the instruction quoted above, yet with an announced time span of 15 min.

**Measures pertaining to Attitudes, Self Concept, and other Recipient Characteristics**

**Attitude (dichotomous).** Before providing attitude data in both sessions, participants completed a practice trial to become familiar with the task and were instructed as follows:

The first task serves to show you how things work and to familiarize you with the procedure. In this task words will be presented for you to classify into groups—positive and negative adjectives. This task requires that you classify items as quickly as you can while making as few mistakes as possible. Going too slow or making too many mistakes will result in an uninterpretable score.

Press one of two keys to categorize the displayed word.

The key with the symbol “Z” indicates NEGATIVE adjective.

The key with the symbol “/” indicates POSITIVE adjective.

It works best to keep one index finger above both these keys for quick responses.

Six adjectives (marvelous, superb, pleasure, painful, terrible, awful) showed up on each new screen in a random sequence. On each screen, the adjective appeared in the center with “Negative” and “Positive” at the left and right bottom respectively. Once a participant pressed
“Z” or “/”, the procedure continued to the next screen. A parallel practice trial was used in the second session, with insects and flowers to be categorized (tulip, bee, ant, orchid, beetle, daisy, with “Insect” and “Flower” shown at the left and right bottom of the screen respectively.)

For the actual dichotomous measurement of attitudes, eight political filler issues were presented first in randomized sequence and then the four target issues relevant for the later exposure measurement were displayed (see Table 1 for the target issues) in randomized sequence, with three words for each topic. Respondents were asked to choose either “oppose” or “support” by pressing corresponding keys. The specific instruction over several screen pages was as follows.

In the following, you will be asked about topics where people can have very different opinions. Please keep in mind that there are no ‘right’ or ‘wrong’ answers for these questions and that we are only interested in your personal views and various aspects of your opinion.

All collected information is anonymous. Only information that cannot be linked to you as a person will be collected.

We are interested in your spontaneous reactions. Thus please answer each question as quickly as possible, but not so quickly that you might make errors. Press one of two keys to indicate whether you support or oppose a policy. The key with the symbol “Z” indicates that you oppose a policy. The key with the symbol “/” indicates that you support a policy. Please keep your index finger above these two number keys to increase response speed. Again, please answer each question as quickly as possible, but not so quickly that you might make errors.

**Attitude accessibility.** The attitude measures in dichotomous format also served to gather accessibility data through response times for indicated support regarding each political issue. The computerized procedure recorded the response latency as a measure of attitude accessibility (Fazio, 1995).

**Attitude (Likert scale).** In the first session, respondents were asked to rate how strongly they oppose or support the policies on a 5-point Likert scale, with “strongly support/somewhat support/neither support nor oppose/somewhat oppose/strongly oppose” as response options. In
the second session, respondents were asked to rate how strongly they oppose or support the policies on a 6-point Likert scale, with strongly support/overall support/rather support/rather oppose/overall oppose/strongly oppose as response options.

**Attitude extremity.** An attitude extremity measure was derived from the attitude Likert scale from the first session, with “strongly support” and “strongly oppose” being coded as 3, “somewhat support” and “somewhat oppose” coded as 2, and “neither support nor oppose” coded as 1.

**Attitude certainty.** Participants indicated in the first session how certain they were about their opinions towards the policies on a 5-point Likert scale, with “not at all certain/somewhat certain/fairly certain/very certain/extremely certain” as response options.

**Attitude importance.** Participants were asked in the first session how important the issues were to them personally on a 5-point Likert scale, “not at all important/somewhat important/fairly important/very important/extremely important.”

**Political self.** In both sessions, self-descriptions were collected. The approach was in line with procedures by Markus (1977) and more recently, in communication research, Comello & Slater (2009). The instructions were displayed over several screens as follows:

In the following, you will be asked about yourself based on characteristics that may or may not apply to you. We’d like to get your spontaneous responses, so please press the response key quickly to indicate whether a characteristic applies to you or not.

The key with the symbol “/” indicates that the characteristic applies to you; it equals “ME”. The key with the symbol “Z” indicates that the characteristic does not apply to you; it equals “NOT ME”.

It works best to keep one index finger above both these keys for quick responses.

Then 24 adjectives concerning personal characteristics were displayed on separate screens, with the adjective displayed in the center, and “Me” and “Not me” shown at the right and left bottom of the screen respectively. Once a participant pressed “/” or “Z”, a new screen was
automatically uploaded. First, 16 filler items such as “moody”, “sympathetic,” and “imaginative” were presented in a randomized sequence. Then the four target adjectives “conservative,” “liberal,” “Republican”, and “Democrat”, embedded in four other politically relevant descriptions (e.g., “patriotic”), were shown in randomized order. The response times in this task were recorded in milliseconds.

**Political self accessibility.** Political self accessibility was derived from averaged response times for the four target adjectives.

**Political interest.** Two questions in the first session were concerned with political interest and asked how closely respondents followed news about government and public affairs and news about a recent election on a 4-point scale, “very closely/not too closely/somewhat closely/not at all closely.”

**News use habits.** Participants rated their news use frequency in the first session for online news, daily newspaper, TV news, political websites, and talk/comedy shows about news and politics on a 6-point scale, “every day/several times a week/once a week/several times a month/once a month/less often.”

**Experimental Internet Magazine**

**Display of available articles.** The experimental Web magazine was programmed with Authorware specifically for this study. This online news magazine had a similar look and feel to popular news magazines currently on the Internet (a screenshot of the same platform was included by Knobloch-Westerwick & Meng, 2009). A masthead of the name and logo of the experimental platform—“American’s national forum—online opinion”—was displayed across the top of the Web site. In addition, a navigation bar was placed on the left-hand side of the page. Although it was deactivated, the displayed navigation bar contained newspaper section headings.
such as Economics, Science, and so forth, which would be commonly found on a news site. The main frame initially contained an overview, which listed news leads for all available articles in two columns.

The overview page showed eight news leads that each contained a headline, a news lead, and a hyperlink to access the actual article. The news leads were about the same length with 24 to 28 words. The positions of news leads on the overview page were randomized for each participant to prevent position effects. However, the two articles about the same issue were never displayed next or above each other. The respondents made their reading selections by clicking on the hyperlinks to articles, scrolling through the selected articles and reading as much of them as they cared to, clicking to return to the overview, selecting other articles (or returning to the abandoned ones), and so forth, until the end of the reading period. Whenever a participant accessed or exited an article page via hyperlinks, Authorware logged the activity to accumulate selective exposure times.

*News leads and article texts.* The news leads and articles were adopted from an earlier study (Knobloch-Westerwick & Meng, 2009). For this prior study, a pretest of news leads had been conducted (with participants from the same population as used for the current study) to select two opposing leads that were perceived as different in political stance while being equally interesting. These criteria led to the selection of the four target issues. A separate stimuli test with participants from the same population had established that (when controlling for readers’ attitudes), text pairs for all topics did not differ significantly for level of interest.

The four policy issues and the eight headlines of the displayed articles were (a) “Universal health care”, “Personalized health coverage”; (b) “Firearm threat”, “Self-defense rights”; (c) “Cruelty of pro-choice”, “Abortion is pro-life”; (d) “Increase minimum wage”, “Wage raising..."
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hurts.” The eight employed articles were pulled from partisan and lobbying Web sites of
heritage.org, nraila.org, and others. All articles were minimally edited, essentially shortened, to
equalize their length to about 705—719 words \( M = 716, SD = 5.2 \). Readability scores within
each pair of articles were comparable, based on Flesch reading ease scores (which can range
between 0 and 100): 36 and 36 for minimum wage; 33 and 37 for health care; 53 and 47 for gun
control; 56 and 51 for abortion. Sans-serif typeface Verdana was used for all articles. Regular
scrolling allowed access to the full text of the articles.

The articles not only used rhetoric but featured ample topic-related details to make a case
regarding the issue in question, such as statistics, dates, budgets, descriptions of policies in
specific US states or abroad; none of the articles employed exemplars. Sources mentioned in the
articles often came for research circles (researchers, institutions, journals) or represented other
fairly neutral institutions such as the Census Bureau, the World Health Organization, or FBI
crime reports. However, lobbying sources such as the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence or a
union movement America Needs a Raise were also referred to. In the context of minimum wage,
one article mentioned both Democrats and Republicans in the first article paragraph, whereas the
other article talked about a Congress bill in the middle of the text (after 316 body text words) and
used the word “Democrats” three times in this paragraph. It is worthwhile to note these details in
order to highlight that the references to the Republican and the Democrat party carried negligible
weight in the presented text material. Hence, it is very unlikely that any increased accessibility of
political partisanship could result from simple priming instead of the suggested attitude-related
reinforcement and the resulting political self-concept reinforcement.

Selective Exposure Measures
The software application tracked participants’ exposure in seconds by logging every hyperlink use. Exposure was operationalized as article choice (clicked on hyperlink leading to article or not) and reading time in seconds. More specifically, the key dependent measure was exposure to news articles with either attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal perspective. For each political issue, the dichotomous measurement of attitudes from the first data-collection session was employed to code articles and exposure to them as attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal on an individual basis. The following measures were generated from hyperlink clicks for individual articles to test the hypotheses: selection of (a) attitude-consistent articles and (b) counterattitudinal articles, exposure time in seconds dedicated to (c) attitude-consistent articles and (d) counter-attitudinal articles. For a-d, measures were generated for each specific article but also accumulated selections and exposure times.

Results

Preliminary Analyses

Respondent characteristics. A condensed measure was created for political self-concept. After reversing two of the four target self-descriptions, the reliability of the responses for these yielded a Cronbach’s alpha of .86. Hence, the scores could be condensed as a mean index (higher scores represented a more liberal orientation). Based on this measure 46 individuals identified themselves consistently as Republican/conservative, and 17 leaned toward this category but gave one inconsistent response. Fifty individuals identified themselves consistently as Democrat/liberal, and 20 leaned toward this category with one inconsistent response out of four. Twenty-one individuals’ responses were inconsistent to the effect that they could not be classified.
An index for political self accessibility was derived from averaged response times for the four target self-descriptions. The average response time was 1326 ms ($SD = 485$). Due to the nature of the index (in contrast to a scale), a reliability score was not computed; accessibility is commonly measured with response time for just one item, which does not allow computing reliability scores in the first place\(^3\). However, greater accessibility was associated with greater consistency in the responses as explained in the prior paragraph ($r = .27, p = .002$) and lower within-subject standard deviation ($r = .22, p = .012$), which speaks to concurrent validity of the measure.

The reliability for the news use habits items was .67 and thus sufficient to create a news use frequency index. The political interest index consisted of the mean of two items that were correlated at $r = .66$ ($p < .001$). News use and political interest were correlated at $r = .55$ ($p < .001$).

**Descriptive statistics for attitudes.** On average, participants indicated that they supported an increase of the minimum wage and favored universal health care as well as stricter gun control; opinions on abortion were somewhat more diverse (see Table 1). Participants’ certainty of their abortion attitude was significantly higher than for minimum wage ($t(151) = 2.0, p = .050$) and gun control ($t(151) = 3.8, p < .001$). Gun control was significantly less important to participants than universal healthcare ($t(151) = 2.8, p = .006$) and abortion ($t(151) = 3.4, p = .001$); the minimum wage issue was less important than abortion ($t(151) = 2.1, p = .038$).

**Convergence of attitudes with political party stances.** For the examination of H3, the assumption that individuals’ topic attitudes converge with parties’ stances regarding these topics is of crucial importance. After all, it is possible that someone who thinks of himself/herself as a Republican favors pro-choice abortion policies nonetheless. In that case, attitude-consistent
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exposure for this particular topic could actually undermine partisanship as political self-concept. However, individuals’ attitudes for the four target topics were generally highly consistent with the viewpoints supported by the favored party. This was demonstrated by an inter-item reliability analysis that employed the dichotomous attitude responses for the four issues (which were all worded in pro-liberal terms) and the four target adjectives for political self (two of them recoded). Cronbach’s alpha was .79 for this analysis.

Selective exposure. As mentioned above, 14 individuals had been excluded from the sample as they had clicked on one or less of the available articles and thus did not appear to have engaged in news reading. For the remaining sample, participants spent 61 seconds ($SD = 55$) on the overview page that displayed the news leads. The minimum time for the overview page was 7 seconds, the maximum 232 seconds. On average, participants clicked on 3.5 articles ($SD = 1.9$), with a range from zero to eight. On average, participants spent 98 s ($SD = 82$) on the topic of abortion, 54 s ($SD = 64$) on gun-related articles, 47 s ($SD = 52$) on texts pertaining to the minimum wage, and 40 s ($SD = 60$) on articles about health insurance. With regard to potential multicollinearity issues in later regression analyses, it is relevant to note that the selective exposure indicators (as described per a-d under “Dependent Measures”) were only moderately correlated, with significant correlations ranging from $|.19|$ to $|.59|$.

Comparison of lab and online sample. Extensive tests were run to detect if the two data collection approaches yielded differences in the variables of interest. No differences were found for news use habits, political interest, attitudes (baseline), attitude accessibility (baseline), attitude importance, attitude extremity, political self (baseline), and political self accessibility (baseline) ($p = .20$ for one variable, $p > .43$ for the other characteristics). The only difference
worth mentioning was a generally higher attitude certainty reported in a lab setting ($M = 4.25$ versus $4.02$, $SD = .6$ versus $.8$), $t(169) = 2.3, p = .026$.

**Impact of Attitudes on Selective Exposure**

Participants clicked on $2.0$ ($SD = 1.0$) articles that featured attitude-consistent views and on $1.7$ articles ($SD = 1.1$) with a counter-attitudinal stance. This difference was significant in an ANOVA with the article choices as repeated measures ($F(1, 157) = 10.6, p < .001, \eta^2 = .063$) and data collection method as between-factor (n.s.). For exposure times, participants spent $139$ seconds on average ($SD = 74$) on attitude-consistent information and $95$ seconds ($SD = 70$) on counter-attitudinal messages. The difference was again significant ($F(1, 157) = 14.6, p < .001, \eta^2 = .085$), while the data collection method was irrelevant (n.s.) when applying the same ANOVA model to exposure times. The ANOVA model was extended by using the four topics as another within-factor. Both the topic dimension ($F(3, 471) = 15.5, p < .001, \eta^2 = .090$) and the dimension of the attitude-consistent versus counter-attitudinal choices ($F(1, 157) = 10.6, p = .001, \eta^2 = .063$) had significant impact on selections. The same ANOVA design with the same within-factors but exposure time as repeated measures yielded that, for amount of exposure, both topic ($F(3, 471) = 17.9, p < .001, \eta^2 = .102$) and the contrast of attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal ($F(1, 157) = 14.6, p < .001, \eta^2 = .085$) mattered. More importantly, both ANOVAs did not yield an interaction ($p > .76$) between the two within-factors, which shows that the preference for attitude-consistent information was uniform and applied regardless of topic. These findings support H1. Method of data collection had been included as between-group factor but did not show an impact (n.s.).

Finally, we compared for how many topics participants accessed through (a) only attitude-consistent messages, or (b) only counter-attitudinal messages, or (c) both types of
messages. On average, participants looked at .98 topics (SD = .92) only based on attitude-consistent information. Both sides were viewed for 1.06 (SD = 1.05) topics. Only counter-attitudinal exposure for a topic was less common, with .67 topics on average (SD = .72); the difference was significant compared to (a) and (b) in paired t tests (t(158) = 3.1/3.5, p < .002).

Implications of Selective Exposure on Attitude Accessibility

Examinations of selective exposure effects on attitude accessibilities were conducted for issue-specific accessibility and across the four issues (condensed by averaging response times). The regression analyses employed exposure times for attitude-consistent and counter-attitudinal messages, attitude importance and certainty, as well as a variable that indicated if an attitude change had occurred when considering the dichotomous attitude measures from the first and the second research session

These analyses did not yield consistent effect patterns. No selective exposure effects were found for attitude accessibilities regarding healthcare and abortion. For gun control, longer exposure to counter-attitudinal content increased the response time for the corresponding attitude (beta = .17, p = .040). For minimum wage, attitude-consistent exposure tended to reduce the response time (beta = -.13, p = .095). Details are reported in Table 2. No exposure effects on accessibility were found for the condensed variables across issues.

Implications of Selective Exposure on Political Self Accessibility

Effects of selective exposure on the accessibility of political self-concept were examined through three regression analyses, using (1) article choices for attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal messages, (2) exposure times for attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal messages, and (3) number of topics accessed for attitude-consistent or counter-attitudinal message only.
Results of these three analyses are summarized in Table 3. The 21 participants who did not clearly identify themselves as partisan of a political party were excluded from this analysis. The following variables served as controls: data collection mode, base line accessibility of the political self, average attitude importance, average attitude certainty, average attitude extremity, news use habits, political interest, political self as political partisanship, and how many of the four topics were accessed.

The regression model with choices of counter-attitudinal and attitude-consistent messages as predictors yielded a significant impact of frequency of attitude-consistent message choices on the response times for political self descriptors in the second session ($\beta = .33$, $p = .003$). Hence, the more often attitude-consistent content was clicked on, the faster participants responded to politically relevant self-descriptions. The only control variable that had significant impact was the baseline accessibility of the political self (see Table 3).

A parallel analysis was run using exposure times for counter-attitudinal and attitude-consistent messages as predictors. The more time participants spent on counter-attitudinal content, the slower they were when responding to politically relevant self-descriptions ($\beta = -.24$, $p = .027$). For the control variables, data collection mode was again irrelevant (n.s.) but response times for political self descriptors from the first session had, of course, a significant impact (see Table 3).

To look into effects of different exposure constellations, such as reading only the attitude-consistent message on a topic or only the counter-attitudinal text on an issue, an additional analysis was performed. It utilized the number of topics for which participants had accessed only attitude-consistent or only counter-attitudinal messages. These indicators could vary between zero and four. It revealed that reading about more topics—and maybe none in depth—led to
greater accessibility of the political self concept (beta = .18, p = .034). It also demonstrated that more reading topics with counter-attitudinal exposure only, the lower the accessibility of the political self (beta = -.24, p = .003). As in the prior analyses, the baseline accessibility had a significant impact, whereas data collection mode did not (see Table 3).

Discussion

It has long been argued that much of media use is motivated by an interest to bolster existing attitudes, including attitudes regarding the self. The present findings replicate evidence (Knobloch-Westerwick & Meng, 2009) that media recipients prefer messages in line with pre-existing political attitudes over counter-attitudinal content. This impact was again found to apply regardless of political issue and media use context (lab situation versus individual online use context).

More importantly, selective exposure to attitude-consistent messages in general increased accessibility of the political self-concept, whereas exposure to counter-attitudinal messages decreased it. This is an impressive corroboration that selective media exposure allows bolstering the self-concept. This phenomenon is generally difficult to capture, as it represents stability and reinforcement but not change in the sense of conversion. However, by looking at reinforcement as a change and increase of accessibility, empirical demonstration was successful.

Yet, evidence for reinforcement of specific preexisting attitudes through selective exposure was inconsistent. We believe that the information related to specific topics may occasionally have produced longer response times and thus lower accessibility as participants were trying to integrate the information received during exposure into their views. The positive impact of number of accessed topics, with more topics signaling more superficial reading, on accessibility of the political self concept speaks for that notion. Or participants may have
encountered additional issue information in the media between the first and the second session (during the onset of the presidential primaries in early 2008). As a result, even if a preference for attitude-consistent messages is exhibited, this may encourage recipients to reconsider an issue when asked about their views right after exposure. Hence, attitudes could be reinforced in the long run, but the measures collected right after exposure were not suited to show that. Yet the political self-concept, which can be seen as a much broader attitude regarding the self, was strengthened by attitude-consistent selective exposure for particular issues related to this self-concept domain; it was thus apparently not affected by processing of specifics.

We have focused on one particular domain of the self-concept—the political self. It is interesting that the self-bolstering pattern could be observed for an identity facet that appears to have comparatively low importance for self descriptions. Smith (2007) reports that political party preference ranked lowest by far (4%) when people were asked to select the three most important out of ten categories to describe who they are. Obviously, facets of the self can be rendered salient by the given context (e.g., Markus & Wurf, 1987), which was a political messages web site in the current study. Yet it is likely that greater impacts on media use will occur for aspects of the self that are generally more accessible.

Gender, for instance, is generally considered to be crucial for self-perceptions and even general psychological adjustment (e.g., O’Heron & Orlofsky, 1990). In line with the idea of self-bolstering media use, children have long been said to prefer same-sex media characters (e.g., Hoffner, 1996; Knobloch et al., 2005), which most likely serves a motivation to develop a gender-based identity in the first place. Further examples include women’s preference for relationship issues and melodramatic fiction on the one hand and men’s preference for sports and other competition-related content and violent entertainment on the other hand (e.g., Knobloch-
Westerick & Alter, 2007; Oliver, Weaver, & Sargent, 1998). Most likely without any awareness, media users may strive to sustain internalization of gender-typed norms to match those expectations. Experimental evidence for these selection patterns and subsequent impacts on behavior has been provided by Knobloch-Westerick and Alter (2006). But clear indications of selective exposure effects on gendered self-perceptions define a void in existing research.

Obviously, the current analysis leaves many questions unanswered regarding selective media exposure in the interest of reinforcement of the self. As the current study employed a student sample, which presents problems to the generalizability, additional research with a more diverse sample is in the making. This follow-up work might also reveal to what extent the political climate and context of the data collection time period affects selective exposure and its consequences in any way. Furthermore, how long do these self-reinforcement effects last? What ceiling and floor effects exist? In other words, can self-concepts be too strong or too weak to evoke reinforcing selective exposure? Do the patterns demonstrated for the political self also affect categories that relate to ethnicity, family status, occupation, age, etc. that have been found to be more salient than political categories (Smith, 2007)?

Reinforcement of the self may well be the type of effect that media users seek most frequently and habitually. If individuals strive for self-consistency and stability, even though their selves are dynamic and subject to continuous fluctuation and adaptation (e.g., Markus & Wurf, 1987), media use may offer great tools for ‘consistency maintenance’ (Ibid., p. 300). This motivation might be the origin of those overwhelmingly strong media use patterns that have become ‘common sense’ knowledge about media preferences. On the other hand, media use may not only serve ‘self maintenance’ but also render self facets salient as deemed useful per
situational circumstances. The complexity and dynamics of the self may be crucial for many media use situations, though difficult to tackle as a psychological process.
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Table 1

Descriptive Statistics of Attitude Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Attitude (1=support or 2=oppose)</th>
<th>Attitude (1=support, 5=oppose)</th>
<th>Certainty (1=not at all, 5=extremely)</th>
<th>Importance (1=not at all, 5=extremely)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stricter Gun Control</td>
<td>1.26 (.44)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Abortion--Pro-Choice</td>
<td>1.38 (.49)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Health Care</td>
<td>1.14 (.35)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Minimum Wage</td>
<td>1.17 (.38)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Impacts of Selective Exposure to Messages Related to Political Attitudes on Accessibility of Political Attitudes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Abortion</th>
<th>Health Insurance</th>
<th>Minimum Wage</th>
<th>Gun Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Attitude-Consistent Message</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-.13*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Counter-Attitudinal Message</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base-Line Accessibility</td>
<td>.49***</td>
<td>.34***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Beta weights from topic-specific regression analyses. One asterisk for p < .05; two for < .01; three for < .001; plus sign for < .10. Hyphen indicates non-significant beta. None of the additional four control variables (not listed) showed significant impact.
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Table 3

*Impacts of Selective Exposure to Messages Related to Political Attitudes on Accessibility of Political Self-Concept (beta weights)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Models by Selective Exposure Measures used as Predictors</th>
<th>Article Choices</th>
<th>Exposure Times</th>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Attitude-Consistent Message</td>
<td>.33**</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Exposure to Counter-Attitudinal Message</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.24**</td>
<td>-.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Topics Accessed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base-Line Accessibility</td>
<td>.51***</td>
<td>.54***</td>
<td>.51***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. One asterisk for p < .05; two for < .01; three for < .001. Hyphen indicates non-significant beta. None of the additional seven control variables (not listed) showed significant impact. For the model that employed ‘topics’ as selective exposure measure, the number of topics for which only the attitude-consistent or only the counter-attitudinal message was accessed served as predictors.*
Nowadays, it usually coins observations that media users do not allocate their media choices and time equally to the available cornucopia of media messages and demonstrate preference and avoidance patterns due to situational circumstances and personality factors (Author, 2006, 2008; Zillmann & Bryant, 1985).

Major political and economic events right before and during data collection (Pearson Education, n.d.; Federal Reserve Board, 2008): Presidential primaries begin with Iowa (1/3) and New Hampshire (1/8). McCain emerges as Republican front runner in primaries and caucuses held in 24 states (2/5). A week later, Democrat Obama wins three states, strengthening his lead over H. Clinton (2/12). President Bush proposes $145 billion stimulus package (1/18) and delivers last State of the Union (1/28). The Federal Reserve Bank cuts interest rates by .75% (1/22) and then .5% (1/30). Bureau of Labor Statistics reports that economy loses jobs first time in 52 Months (2/1). Senate passes stimulus package (2/7).

Email correspondence, 03/24/209, with Dr. David Ewoldsen, an expert in accessibility measures and communication research methods.

Recipients’ attitude change, based on these measures, could range from 0 to 4, as there were four target topics. The average attitude change was 0.48 ($SD = .79$).